



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/890,471	08/01/2001	N. Edward Berg	BERG99.01CIP	3251
27667	7590	12/09/2005	EXAMINER	
HAYES, SOLOWAY P.C. 3450 E. SUNRISE DRIVE, SUITE 140 TUCSON, AZ 85718			CULBERT, ROBERTS P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/890,471

Applicant(s)

BERG, N. EDWARD

Examiner

Roberts Culbert

Art Unit

1763

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 September 2005.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 41-59 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 41-59 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to the amended claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Applicant has amended the claims to include a step of forming a seed layer prior to plating. However, the use of a seed layer for the adhesion of a plating layer is well known in the circuit board art as recited in the following rejection(s) of the pending claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Claim 46 recites forming a first circuit pattern on a top surface of the substrate using plating and forming a second circuit pattern on the bottom surface by printing a conductive composition. Claim 47 recites forming a first circuit pattern on a top surface of the substrate by printing a conductive composition, and forming a second circuit pattern on the bottom surface by plating. Applicant has not described an embodiment of the invention that forms the circuit patterns wherein different techniques are applied to the top and bottom circuit patterns.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

Art Unit: 1763

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 51 and 52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 51 and 52 depend from claim 48, and recite using plating to form first and second circuit patterns. It is unclear how plating forms the patterns since claim 48 recites that the first and second circuit patterns are formed by printing conductive ink.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 41, 46 and 47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,359,516 to Nacci et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,501,638 to Johnson, U.S. Patent 4,581,301 to Michaelson and U.S. Patent 5,132,248 to Drummond et al.

Nacci et al. teaches a method of manufacturing a circuit board comprising providing a non-conducting substrate having a top surface and a bottom surface, the top surface comprising a first conductor and the bottom surface comprising a second conductor (Col. 10, Line 67 – Col. 11, Line 41); preheating the substrate (Col. 3, Lines 10-14) and image printing a resist mask over a portion of the first conductor to form a plurality of first exposed areas; image printing a resist mask over a portion of the second conductor to form a plurality of second exposed areas, wherein each of the plurality of first exposed areas is disposed above one of the plurality of second exposed areas; Nacci et al. teaches that

Art Unit: 1763

the circuit board patterns may be formed by direct image printing a resist mask, plating the exposed areas to increase thickness, removing the mask, and removing exposed conductor (Col. 10, Lines 34-55)

Nacci et al. does not teach the step of forming vias in the circuit board. However, the step of forming through-holes or vias through a multi-sided circuit board substrate is notoriously old and well known in the circuit board manufacturing art.

Johnson teaches one of several known methods of forming conductive vias in a circuit board substrate. Johnson teaches removing a first conductor from each of the plurality of first exposed areas to form a plurality of first void areas on the top surface (Fig 2A); removing the second conductor from each of the plurality of second exposed areas to form a plurality of second void areas on the bottom surface (Fig 2A); forming a plurality of vias by connecting one of the plurality of first void areas with one of the plurality of second void areas (Fig 2B); plating the plurality of vias to form the plurality of conductive pathways between the top surface and the bottom surface; (Col. 3, Lines 60-65)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form conductive vias as shown in Johnson through the circuit board substrate of Nacci et al.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated at the time of invention to perform the conventional step in order to provide electrical interconnection between circuit elements on the front and back sides of the circuit board.

Nacci et al. in view of Johnson does not teach using a conductive ink seed layer. However it old and well known in the circuit board art to use a seed layer comprising a conductive ink as an adhesion layer for the plating process. For example, Michaelson teaches plating a via hole in which the copper circuit pattern is deposited on a seed layer that provides adhesion for the plated copper. (Col. 8, Lines 29-36) Michaelson further teaches that conductive ink is used as the seed layer for copper plating. (Col. 7, Lines 57-63)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the seed layer of Michaelson in to provide adhesion for the plated copper circuit patterns.

Nacci et al. in view of Johnson and Michaelson does not teach printing a conductive composition onto the circuit board substrate. Drummond et al. teaches a method of forming the conductive circuit

Art Unit: 1763

patterns on a circuit substrate. Drummond et al teaches forming a circuit pattern on the circuit substrate surface by direct image printing a conductive ink composition onto the top surface using an inkjet printing technique.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use the circuit forming technique of Drummond et al. to form circuit patterns on the circuit board substrate of Nacci et al. in view of Johnson. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated at the time of invention to use the circuit forming technique of Drummond et al. in order to provide a multisided circuit board having circuit patterns that are interconnected by the plated through holes or vias.

Claims 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,359,516 to Nacci et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,501,638 to Johnson, U.S. Patent 4,581,301 to Michaelson and U.S. Patent 5,132,248 to Drummond et al. and in further view of UK Patent GB 2227887 A to Lowe et al.

Regarding Claims 42-45, Nacci et al. in view of Johnson, Michaelson and Drummond et al. teach the method of the invention substantially as claimed, but do not teach the step of printing circuit devices such as resistors and capacitors and solder-resist masks on the circuit board substrate.

Lowe teaches that the conductive layers, circuit devices and solder-resist masks of a circuit board may be formed by etching or by direct printing using screen-printing, transfer printing, lithographic printing or other methods. See Abstract, Examples and (Page 8, Lines 7-9), (Page 10, Lines 10-20) and (Page 18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a printing technique such as lithography to form the conductive layers, circuit devices and resist masks of a circuit board since Lowe teaches that the techniques are equivalent methods of forming the conductive patterns, circuit devices and resist masks. Note also that since Lowe teaches that any printing method is acceptable that will handle the conducting, resistive, carbon and solder resist inks.

Claims 48 and 51-59 are is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,359,516 to Nacci et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,501,638 to Johnson, U.S. Patent 4,581,301

Art Unit: 1763

to Michaelson and U.S. Patent 5,132,248 to Drummond et al. and in further view of U.S. Patent 4,526,835 to Takahashi et al.

Regarding Claim 48, Nacci et al. in view of Johnson, Michaelson and Drummond et al. teach the method of the invention substantially as claimed, but do not teach the steps of joining two circuit board substrates using an insulating layer and forming conductive pathways between the circuit patterns.

Takahashi et al. teaches a method of forming a multilayer circuit board comprising laminating a plurality of circuit board substrates together using an insulating layer. Next, plated through holes or vias are formed connecting the circuit patterns the circuit board substrates. (See Figures 1 - 4B and the related discussion)

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to join multiple circuit board substrates using an insulating layer and then interconnecting the circuit patterns using plated through holes as shown in Takahashi et al.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated at the time of invention to join multiple circuit boards in order to form a completed multilayer circuit board as shown by Takahashi et al.

Regarding Claims 51, 52, 54, 55, 58 and 59 Nacci et al. teaches that the circuit board patterns may be formed by direct image printing a resist mask, plating the top surface to increase thickness, removing the mask, and removing exposed conductor (Col. 10, Lines 34-55)

Claims 49 and 50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent 4,359,516 to Nacci et al. in view of U.S. Patent 4,501,638 to Johnson, U.S. Patent 4,581,301 to Michaelson, U.S. Patent 5,132,248 to Drummond et al, U.S. Patent 4,526,835 to Takahashi et al. and in further view of UK Patent GB 2227887 A to Lowe et al.

Regarding Claims 49 and 50, Nacci et al. in view of Johnson, Michaelson and Drummond et al. teach the method of the invention substantially as claimed, but do not teach the step of printing circuit devices such as resistors and capacitors on the circuit board substrate.

Lowe teaches that the conductive layers, circuit devices and solder-resist masks of a circuit board may be formed by etching or by direct printing using screen-printing, transfer printing, lithographic printing

Art Unit: 1763

or other methods. See Abstract, Examples and (Page 8, Lines 7-9), (Page 10, Lines 10-20) and (Page 18). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use a printing technique such as lithography to form the conductive layers, circuit devices and resist masks of a circuit board since Lowe teaches that the techniques are equivalent methods of forming the conductive patterns, circuit devices and resist masks. Note also that since Lowe teaches that any printing method is acceptable that will handle the conducting, resistive, carbon and solder resist inks.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Roberts Culbert whose telephone number is (571) 272-1433. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:30-5:00).


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571) 272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Culbert
Examiner
Art Unit 1763




Parviz Hassanzadeh
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Art Unit 1763